DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 366 807 CE 065 711

AUTHOR Jones, Karen H.

TITLE Analysis of Readability and Interest of Home

Economics Textbooks for Special Needs Learners.

PUB DATE Dec 93

NOTE 17p.; Paper presented at the American Vocational

Association Convention (Nashville, TN, December

1993). Tables contain small type.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Content Analysis; *Home Economics; *Readability;

Readability Formulas; Secondary Education; *Special

Needs Students; Textbook Content; *Textbook

Evaluation: *Textbook Selection

IDENTIFIERS Writing Style

ABSTRACT

A study examined 58 home economics education textbooks in terms of reading difficulty, writing style, and interest level for special population learners. The objective was to identify texts, using six different readability formulas that were significantly more difficult and provided educational obstacles to special populations in their preparation for daily living skills and home economics careers. Likewise, the relatively easiest texts were identified for consideration in text evaluation and selection procedures and student placement. The six readability formulas were Flesch, Kincaid-Flesch, Dale-Chall, Gunning, Raygor, and Fry. A human interest index measured the personal appeal of reading passages by assessing the number of personally relevant words and sentences. The writing style of a book was evaluated by determining the percentage of passive sentences in the selected passage. A rercentage greater than 30 percent indicated a formal writing style typical of technical and scientific writing that added a dimension of reading difficulty. Three passages of 100 words or more, ending with a complete sentence were selected from the first chapter, the final chapter, and the approximate middle page of the book. Results found the formulas were correlated and effective in discriminating texts of relative ease or difficulty. Writing style and human interest were not strong discriminating factors in identifying appropriate texts for students with low literacy skills. (Four tables are appended.) (YLB)

from the original document.





^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Analysis of Readability and Interest of Home Economics Textbooks for Special Needs Learners

Karen H. Jones University of Georgia

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Resources and improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it. Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

Points of the person of the person or organization or organization or organization or organization or organization.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

The selection of a textbook is a critical decision to be made by the home economics educator. Although teachers use a variety of teaching strategies and curriculum materials, textbooks remain an important source of information. The more suited reading material is to the varied abilities and needs of students, the more likely the students are to retain concepts necessary for classroom use and transfer this knowledge to the workplace and daily living skills.

The 58 secondary home economics textbooks included in this study have been evaluated based on readability, human interest, and writing style.

Readability. The readability of a textbook refers to the ease of comprehension of the reading material. Numerous readability procedures are available. Although there are limitations to the use of readability formulas, they can provide the teacher with valuable information regarding the suitability of the reading level of textbooks for specific students. The six formulas selected for this evaluation are: Flesch, Kincaid-Flesch, Dale-Chall, Gunning, Raygor, and Fry. The formulas report information in grade level equivalencies. For example, a textbook with a readability score of 9 is appropriate for a student who has completed the ninth grade.



All readability formulas use grade level equivalencies but arrive there by different methods. Flesch, Fry and Kincaid-Flesch grade levels are indices based on the average number of words per sentence and the average number of syllables per 100 words. Standard adult usage averages approximately 17 words per sentence and 147 syllables per 100 words (Microsoft Corporation, 1991-92b). A Kincaid-Flesch score of 7-8 is the range of "standard" writing (Microsoft Corporation, 1991-92b). The Gunning index is based on average sentence length multiplied by a constant and number of multisyllabic words per sentence. Here the proportion of multisyllabic words is considered a relative index of reading difficulty. The Dale-Chall and Raygor formulas utilize comparative information. The Dale-Chall consists of a 3,000 word list and calculates difficulty based on the percentage of words not on this list as well as the average sentence length. The Raygor reports grade level by combining average sentence length with the percentage of words that have more than three syllables.

Human Interest. This index attempts to measure the personal appeal of reading passages by assessing the number of personally relevant



words and sentences contained in the reading material. Scores range from 0 to 100. Higher scores (70 to 90) indicate exciting, engaging reading material exemplified by slick magazines and comics. Lower scores (10 to 20) indicate "dull" material such as scientific and academic literature.

Writing Style. The writing style of a book is evaluated by determining the percentage of passive sentences in the selected passage. A high percentage (greater than 30%) indicates a formal writing style typical of technical and scientific writing, thus adding a dimension of reading difficulty when a student is unfamiliar with the concepts presented or has low interest in the subject.

Numerous readability procedures are available (Klare, 1974-75) and each has their own advantages and disadvantages as well as task focus (Allen, 1985). Although criticism of readability formulas have focused on the restrictive variables used as the basis of calculations (Maddux & Candler, 1987), the predictive validity in terms of reading comprehension has been substantiated (Guthrie, 1972).



Value of Readability

Despite the controversy surrounding <u>readability versus learnability</u>, readability remains a potentially useful tool in selecting the relatively simplest textbook in terms of ease of reading.

Efforts to utilize readability analysis in vocational education have attempted to closely match student's reading ability with a <u>precise</u> measure of reading difficulty provided by one, or two readability measures. This accuracy of matching is not possible because the formulas vary in the reading components measured in contrast to differing factors from reading tests given to students.

Welch & McCracken (1983) found that teachers often underestimate the reading difficulty of materials. When technical concepts are adequately covered, easier-to-read text does not appear to impede the comprehension of a higher reading student, while it may make the information more accessible to a special needs learner. However, the objective of text analysis for special needs vocational learners is not to provide an exact match of reading level between text and student, but rather, to identify the lowest reading level available among texts otherwise



4

suitable in content. This task can be accomplished if all text sources are measured with the same readability formula and if measures are seen as relative rather than specific indices of difficulty.

A reliance on published readability ratings provided by commercial publishers is often a source of frustration since these scores are derived from single, often uncited, formulas without the provision of comparative information (Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory, 1989). For comparative purposes, a much broader analysis of available texts is needed to provide home economics educators and their special education colleagues with reading difficulty information.

The purpose of the study was to evaluate 58 representative home economics education textbooks in terms of reading difficulty, writing style, and interest level for special populations learners. The objective was to identify texts, using six different readability formulas, that were significantly more difficult, and provided educational obstacles to special populations in their preparation for daily living skills as well as for home economics careers. Likewise, the relatively easiest texts were identified for consideration in text evaluation and selection procedures and student



placement. The texts were also analyzed with measures of writing style and human interest in order to consider a wider range of selection attributes.

Fifty-eight books were evaluated in this study. The most widely used books were identified by using the criteria of state adoption for secondary use. The education departments of twenty states (AK, AR, AZ, CA, CT, GA, HI, IL, KS, KY, MA, ME, MS, MT, ND, NM, NY, OH, SC, VA) from all regions of the United States were contacted by telephone to obtain information regarding state approved texts in vocational education. Of these twenty states, six (AR, GA, KY, MS, SC, TX) had such lists available. Local adoption of texts was the practice in the remaining states contacted. A master list was made of all texts listed and a prioritized search was made of those texts used by the highest number of states.

Three passages of 100 words or more, ending with a complete sentence, were selected from the first chapter (around page 12), the final chapter (three pages from end), and the approximate middle page of the book (last text page divided by two) as recommended by Rush (1985).

6

Only explanatory text was included in the sample. The same samples were entered into two computer programs for data analysis.

Results found that the formulas are correlated and are effective in discriminating textbooks of relative ease or difficulty.

Writing style and human interest were not strong discriminating factors in identifying appropriate texts for students with low literacy skills.

However, when attempting to involve students with low reading or interest, these factors may be considered valuable.

Attempted to look at the data:

If readability is high and

If writing style (passive) is high and

If human interest is low, then eliminate the book.



7

BEST COPY RYALKELL

GRADE	FLESCH	CHALL	GUNNING	RAYGOR	FRY	×	8	EASE	INTEREST	×
	4.4	13•	*7.7	÷	÷	635	491	79.6	2842	•
12.8	01	7.	13.3	=	Ξ	12.01	151	99. 4	•	**
1.7	6.4	\$6	66	7	7	7.81	13%	72.0	25.96	8
10.4	8.2	9.5	11.1	6	01	6.79	1.03	58.5	30.23	ន
8.4	7.5*	•26	10	6	€0	8.73	a ,	65.7	10.90	37**
10.2	9.3	14	12.2	12	10	11.28	1.76	6.85	17.27	~
11.6	6.8	11.5	11.1	12	01	10.85	1.17	84.4	679	8
13.5*	10.8*	113	13.9	21	=	12.11	130	46.1	15.7	21
1.9*	6.7*	7.5°	9.5	••	1	7.43	1.20	20.8	16.4	11
80.	7.1*	9.5•	9:6	\$	1	7.86	145	89.7	25.22	8
13.9	11.9*	14	14.6*	15•	16•	14.40	138	37	12.1	8
12.3	10.8*	11.5	13.5	10	=	11.51	123	52.1	1458	=======================================
10.39	8.50	11.41	1131	9.33	9.08			39.56	16.35	21.25
2.62	2.18	2.39	2.17	3.36	3.57			12.32	77.6	16.29
	12.8 17.1 10.4 10.2 11.6 11.5 12.3 10.39 2.62		64* 64* 75* 75* 93 1- 10.8* 11.9* 11.9* 11.9* 12.18	64* 95 82 95 175* 955* 11 89 115 11 108* 115 11 119* 14 11 119* 115 1108* 115 218 239	64* 95 93 11.1 8.2 95 93 75 75* 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93 93	64* 95 93 11 7 8.2 95 93 7 7.5 95 11.1 9 11 8.9 14 122 12 12 10.8* 11.5 11.1 12 10.8* 11.5 11.1 12 11.9* 14 12.2 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 12 11.1 12. 13.1 12 11.1 14. 11.31 9.33 2.1 2.39 2.17 3.36	64* 95 93 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	10 14 133 11 11 1201 64* 9.5 9.3 7 7 7.4 7.41 11 82 9.5 11.1 9 10 9.70 11 10 9.70 11 9.3 14 12.2 12 10 9.73 11.28	64. 95. 93. 11 11 11 1201 157 82. 95. 1111 9 10 10 103 93. 14 122 12 12 10 1128 1.76 89. 115 112 12 10 1128 1.76 118. 115 113. 120 12 11 121 1.30 119. 14 146 158 16 1440 1.38 119. 14 146 1.39 119. 14 146 1.39 119. 14 146 1.39 119. 14 146 1.39 119. 111 1.33 111 1.33 112 1.33 113 1.33 114 1.33 115 1.33 115 1.33 116 1.34 117 1.34 118 1.31 118 1.33 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.34 119 1.35 119 1.35 119 1.31 119 1.31 119 1.31	10

^{• • • (/. 1}SD; •• PASSIVE STYLE 15 > 33%

Maria Carata C

BEST COPY AVAILABLĒ

g levels and means for cioining and textile texts											,	1
Aintior	TITLE	FLESCH GRADE	KINCAID	DALE	GUNNING	RAYGOR	FRY	ı×	SD	FLESCH	IIUMAN INTEREST	PASSIVE ★
Johnson & Foster (1990)	Clothing Image & Impact	83	8.2	\$6	10.4	€	••	8.73	8.	66.7	29.10	ສ
(1601) (1797)	Clothes & Your Appravance	8.7	7.2	11.5	9.2	10	٥	9.26	1.42	62.6	33.92	17
Priest & Pullen (1990)	Guide 10 Clothing	83	7.2	9.5	10.6	7	7	8.18	1.35	663	249	11
Stone (1991)	Fashlon Merchandising An Introduction	14*	12.4*	14*	16.2*	15•	17*	14.85	1.63	38•	224	ជ
Vanderhoff (1990)	Clothing Concepts & Construction	ž	2	\$6	FII	•c	•	8.96	131	97.	29.18	15
Webb-Lupo & Letter (1987)	Clothing Decisions	8.6	7.0	5.6	9.6	10	•c	8.78	1.13	¥8	27.14	E2
Weber (1990)	Clothinz Fashion, Fabrics, Construction	€ .	7.4	50	9.4	***	≈ 0	8.43	æ,	19	10.3	11
Walfe (1989)	Fashion!	8.6	73	11.5	10	91	7	9.06	1.74	63.6	16.96	=
	ıχ											
										_		

• • + /. ISD; •• FASSIVE STYLE IS > 33%

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

٠.

	,											
avelouing levels and means for consumer economics texts	sumer economics texts									נו ניצען	HUMAN	FASSIVE
	1	FLISCH	KINCAID	DAI.E CIIAI.I.	GUNNING	RAYGOR	FRY	ı×	S	EASB	INTEREST	*
AUTHOR	TILE	a constant			;	¥	9	11.30	707	57.2	18.17	=
Ronnice & Bannister (1987)	Developing Consumer Attitudes	10.8	0.0	11.5	CII	2	2					
firenneke & Hamiii (1983)	Decisions: Making Personal	•	75*	\$56	10.1	Ł	÷	8.63	8;	62.4	46.14	=
	Economic Choices	ò	! ;	3	551	\$1	13	14.10	ধ	41.6	14.53	-
Campbell (1988)	The Confident Consumer	14.2	11.2	-	:	: :	:	Ş	<u> </u>	621	25.55	•0
Cralg & Miles (1992)	Caners in Home Economics	8.7*	8.2	•\$6	12	ic	e e	2			ž	¥
	Monte and the Character	13.3	6.4	11.5	12.2	13	=	11.58	9!:	49.2	3	2
(1881)		ž	124	15*	14.5	53	17.	14.48	1.63	36.5	10.9	S:
Green (1988)	Contimiers in the Economy	2	ļ		į	ŧ	÷	7.33	1.19	t	25.90	91
[cwit (1990)	Housing Prelitions	7.6*	S.98	ه.٠٥	.n.		-	i				
Lowe, Malouf, Jacobson, &	Conumer Education &	ž	10.0	14	14.8	15	Σ.	13.80	1.48	425	6.16	6
Nics (1993)	Economics	-	•		•	Ÿ	7	13.63	135	429	9.6	38
Ryan (1986)	Fersonal Business Management	×	11.0	-	G.C.1	2	:	!				
Rynn (1990)	Managing Your Personal	:	110	7	13.5	13	13	12.80	1.1	47.1	21.80	+0+
	Linances	F C1	:	Ş	.191	2	51	14.56	1.40	393	85 Q2	-
Swanson (1987)	Conumer Challenges & Issues	146	7:11	<u>.</u>		:	:	19 17	9	41.8	3.75	81
Wyllie, I ang, Green & Warmke (1988)	Caumer Economics	14.2	12.9*	A	17.6*	51	<u> </u>					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		1		•	0111	12.58	12.08			49.63	17.41	16.16
	، ×	17.36	10.10	32		;	y. f			11.40	11.85	19:11
	SD	266	2:00	2.18	2.83	3.14	0.50					

Str < 1/ ISD: ** FASSIVE SIYLE IS > 11%

	1
٠	إج
14.	1
14.	
متناوع	
FE	Ŧ.
-	→
•	i
- 1	-
=	. ī
=	=
•	3
ڪ	3
_	—,
_	<u> </u>
	_
•	~
_	~
ы	
~	=
-1	-

	a III.	FLESCH	KINCAID	DALE	GUNNING	RAYGOR	FRY	×	SD	FLESCH	HUMAN INTEREST	PASSIVE
AUHUK			•6	15.	5.8*	*	•	6.21	Eq.	79.2	84.36	
Abendroth (1986)	Changes of Choices	. !	: :	, o	121	60	6	9.6	1.40	589	38.6	8
Brisbane (1990)	The Developing Child	103	1.7	ָיָ	<u> </u>	,		<u> </u>	¥1.	73.2	14.53	ង
Chamberlain (1990)	Teen Guide	1.9	6.9	56	£,	>		3	}		. 8	2
Decker (1988)33	Children: The Early Years	9:0	8.1 8.1	11.5	10.5	e 0	٥		e !	ŝ	3	
Draper & Draper (1983)	The Caring Paren	•••	7.4	56	6.7	e 0	1	8.26	1.10	469	¥ X	→ ;
Draper, Ganong, & Goodeli (1987)	See How They Grow	8 9	7.8	11.5	11.3	01	₩0	9.53	8.	63.1	32.71	2
Foster, Hogan, Herring & Gieseking Williams (1790)	Creative Living	8.0	7.1	56	9.1	œ	# 0	8.28	18	99.1	8 2	=
Henderson (1985)	Dimensions of Life	145*	12.4*	14*	163•	15•	14*	14.36	1.28	39.8	15.8	ži
Herr (1990)	Horking with Young Children	¤	e0 e0	11.5	10.2	=	2	10.41	96	56.6	25.7	12
Hildehmad (1990)	Parenting and Teaching Young Children	11.7	56	11.5	12.6	01	01	9.21	3.96	842	13.01	۵
Judge (1901)	i. Ye Manazemeni	8.2	æ	9.5	10.8	•	# 0	8.75	1.16	19	25.45	15
Kelley & Eubanks (1988)	Today's Teen	*	63.	5.6	8.4*	01	,	8.03	1.50	73.2	27.12	x
Leavenworth, Hendricks, Gay, Harriman, & Kreinin (1985)	Family Living	13.3*	01	11.5	12.0	÷	12•	11.63	1.10	47.6	19.38	27
Marbocfer & Vadnais (1988)	Caring for the Developing Child	8.6	8.1	11.5	11.2	01	01	6.90	1.35	63.1	3.73	₹
Mawhinney & Peterson (1986)	Child Development: Parenting & Teaching	12.1	₩ .	\$.0	12.6	12•	01	11.00	137	527	38.77	6
Հդրշոհշմա (1988)	Living Today	8.4	2.5	1.5	111	7	1	8.08	মূ	633	32.71	11
Pamell (1989)	Skills For Everyday Libing	103	8.7	11.5	10.6	. 10	0	10.18	ē.	58.8	13.96	EI :
Rieken & House (1986)	Succeeding On Your Own	28	8.5	\$6	11.2	1	•••	8.78	1.43	61.6	215	<u> </u>
Riker & Prishane (1992)	Married & Single Life	13.5	8.0	11.5	12.7	=	•21	11.75	130	163	90:91	- ;
Ryder (1985)	Parents and their Children	10	8.5	11.5	11.1	6 0	٥	87.6	1,42	39.6	18.38	ត :
Ryder (1987)	Contemporary Livins	11.9	8.7	11.5	11.5		=	10.93	71.1	23.6	33.92	e
Sisse, Hugy, Kadin & Mrinkley (1987)	Life Stills	7.9	6.7	٥.5	• o. &	٥	,	7.66	<u> </u>	70:0	12.41	2 2
Thompson & Falola Priest (1987)	1. Geplans	125*	1.0	11.5	115	ο ;		10.83	<u> </u>	- F		
Thompson & Jax (1989)	Trens in Action	12.	4.7*	7.5*	7.0	÷	÷ ·	5.73) 1 (-)	-	3	. v c
Thompson, Jax & Kiser (1987)	Resources for I tring	98	09	75.	63	ec	e 0	8.05	Ę	à - - -	2°16	
Westlake & Westlake (1992)	Relationships and Family Living	12.6	10,4	11.5	14.2*	03	12.	11.78	1.45	\$12	18.17	21
+	١×	9.85	8.22	10.28	10.81	9.03	10.0			61.14	12.94	1534
_												•